

Continuum of Care "HEARTH-Readiness" Check-up Report

Final CoC Lead Self-Assessment Results

Assesment Date: November 2011 - January 2012

Part I - Detail Report

Domain I: CoC Governance and Structure																	
Question No.																	
1.1	Element: The CoC has a clear direction and purpose.																
1.1.1	The CoC has a written vision, mission and/or purpose statement																
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 5px;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #ADD8E6;"> <th style="width: 80%;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.1.1.1	The vision/mission/purpose statement clearly states the purpose of the CoC.																
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 5px;"> <thead> <tr style="background-color: #ADD8E6;"> <th style="width: 80%;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
1.1.1.2	The vision/mission/purpose statement is understood and supported by CoC stakeholders.																

	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
1.1.1.3	The vision/mission/purpose is periodically reviewed and updated.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	0 (0.0%)																
No	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>The original selection of administrative agent, HMIS, etc. (in 2003) were very open. The process has remained open and has worked for our small community. The process needs to be updated and reviewed and the decision-making group needs to be expanded and formalized. There is an MOU for HMIS. The CoC lead is the designated permanent coordinating organization for the CoC so there is not an MOU with the lead agency. While the committees do accomplish the business of the CoC, the structure and roles are not well understood by the stakeholders at large.</p>																
1.2	Element: The CoC has a governing structure to oversee the CoC, including CoC planning, infrastructure, and CoC projects.																
1.2.1	The CoC has a written document describing the roles and responsibilities of the primary decision-making group.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																

	No	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
1.2.2	The CoC primary decision-making group has a fair and transparent process for selecting CoC administrative agent, fiscal agent and/or UFA (as applicable), and HMIS lead.	
	Respondent Type: All	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
1.2.3	The CoC primary decision-making group provides adequate oversight of CoC administration and infrastructure, including CoC staff (administrative and fiscal) and HMIS.	
	Respondent Type: All	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
1.2.4	The CoC primary decision-making group has a written agreement with administrative agent/entity employing CoC administrative staff outlining roles and responsibilities of CoC administrative staff.	

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	0 (0.0%)																
No	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.2.5	The CoC primary decision-making group has a written agreement with HMIS lead agency outlining roles and responsibilities of HMIS lead agency.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.2.6	The CoC has a fair and transparent process for selecting members of the primary decision-making group.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
1.2.7	The CoC has committees, sub-committees, and/or working groups to accomplish CoC goals and management.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)										
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.2.7.1	Committee/sub-committee/working group roles and responsibilities are well-defined and understood by CoC stakeholders.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>Mental health: don't have enough and hospitals not sufficiently engaged; other mental health providers are very active. Physical health: nonprofit and community health are very active; local hospitals not enough. Corrections: county system does good work; state DOC not at the table and hard to engage. Education: McKinney-Vento reps do great work and respond immediately; not at the decision making table. VA: was non-existent but now engaging more. Workforce: so far unresponsive. Foster: good processes but not engaged. DV: invisible to all but providers with whom they work. Consumers: active at providers; need more at decision-making level. City: part is very active; need more support and need to engage county. Business: uninvolved and hard to engage unless they are unhappy. Landlords: some work well with us but not engaged. PHA: works with city; need more participation. Legal: not much available; not at the table. Academic: just starting to engage. Philanthropic: not much available; biggest very supportive; need more engagement. Other: need to identify.</p>																
1.3	Element: The CoC primary decision-making group and related committees/subcommittees/working groups have active and diverse membership																
1.3.1	Stakeholders participating in CoC governance include representatives from:																
1.3.1.1	CoC housing and service providers																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.2	<p>Mental health service providers</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.3	<p>Substance abuse service providers</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.4	<p>Physical health service providers</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.5	<p>Justice/Corrections</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.6	<p>Education (K-12)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																

2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.7 U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.8 Workforce development/employment assistance providers

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.9 Youth/foster-care providers

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.10	<p>Domestic violence providers</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.11	<p>Consumers (persons who are homeless and/or formerly homeless)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.12	<p>Local government(s)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.13	<p>Private businesses</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.14	<p>Landlords</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																

2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.15 Public Housing Authority(ies)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.16 ESG/HPRP Grantee(s)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.17 Legal service providers

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.18	<p>Faith-based organizations</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
1.3.1.19	<p>Academic/research community</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																

Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.20

Philanthropic community

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

1.3.1.21

Other public and private service providers

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

Element Comments:

All business is open/transparen t and stakeholders are invited to participate. However, we need to improve the process of real engagement and educate all about the workings of the CoC. Conflict of interest is openly considers but not written policy exists. CoC maintains distribution list and all information is communicated to everyone. Plan to is mark "CoC business"

communications in some way to make that clearer. Project process (evaluating/rating and selection) needs to be reviewed and formalized by the full strategic planning committee; need to clarify link to goals. Appeal process exists but needs to be written. CoC goals are set at CoC level but not clearly tied to project level; projects do set goals/targets and we have met our targets; they just need to be clearly tied and monitoring needs to be expanded/formalized. Non-HUD funded programs need to be involved in the process. Overall evaluation does happen, but needs to be formalized and frequency increased.

1.4 **Element: The CoC primary decision-making group has a formal, fair, and transparent process for governing the CoC and making decisions.**

1.4.1 The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for ensuring stakeholders have an opportunity to provide input into the decision-making process

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

1.4.2 The CoC decision-making process is understood by all stakeholders

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None														
1.4.3	The CoC has a written conflict of interest policy														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total														
Yes	0 (0.0%)														
No	1 (100.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.4.4	The CoC primary decision-making group actively seeks to prevent/address conflicts of interest														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.4.5	The CoC has a clear process for communicating decisions														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														

1.4.6	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for evaluating and selecting projects for inclusion in the annual CoC application for federal funding														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 261 1969 440"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1969 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 305 1734 349">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1969 349">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 349 1734 393">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1969 393">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 393 1734 440">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1969 440">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total														
Yes	1 (100.0%)														
No	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.4.6.1	The process has been approved by the CoC primary decision-making group.														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 570 1969 753"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 570 1734 613">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 570 1969 613">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 613 1734 657">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 613 1969 657">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 657 1734 701">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 657 1969 701">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 701 1734 753">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 701 1969 753">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total														
Yes	1 (100.0%)														
No	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.4.6.2	The process and rating/ranking criteria are described in writing.														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 883 1969 1066"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 883 1734 927">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 883 1969 927">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 927 1734 971">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 927 1969 971">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 971 1734 1015">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 971 1969 1015">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1015 1734 1066">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1015 1969 1066">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total														
Yes	1 (100.0%)														
No	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
1.4.6.3	Rating/ranking criteria reflect clear linkages to CoC strategic plan and goals.														
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 1192 1969 1505"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 1192 1734 1235">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1192 1969 1235">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1235 1734 1279">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1235 1969 1279">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1279 1734 1323">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1279 1969 1323">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1323 1734 1367">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1323 1969 1367">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1367 1734 1411">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1367 1969 1411">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1411 1734 1455">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1411 1969 1455">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1455 1734 1505">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1455 1969 1505">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														

Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
---	--

1.4.6.4	The process is conducted in a fair and impartial manner														
	Respondent Type: All														
	Results:														
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	0 (0.0%)	5 = All of the Time	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Use Most of the Time	0 (0.0%)														
5 = All of the Time	1 (100.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None														

1.4.6.5	The process includes a formal opportunity to appeal decisions.								
	Respondent Type: All								
	Results:								
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	1 (100.0%)								
No	0 (0.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								

1.4.7	The CoC primary decision-making group sets annual performance goals/targets for HUD-funded CoC projects.								
	Respondent Type: All								
	Results:								
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	0 (0.0%)								
No	1 (100.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								

1.4.8	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for periodically monitoring and evaluating the performance of HUD funded CoC projects (apart from evaluation conducted during HUD application process).
-------	---

	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	0 (0.0%)								
No	1 (100.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
1.4.9	The CoC primary decision-making group sets annual performance goals/targets for other non-HUD funded CoC projects.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	0 (0.0%)								
No	1 (100.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
1.4.10	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for periodically monitoring and evaluating the performance of other non-HUD funded CoC projects.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	0 (0.0%)								
No	1 (100.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
1.4.11	The CoC primary decision-making group sets annual performance goals/targets for overall CoC performance.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	1 (100.0%)								
No	0 (0.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
1.4.12	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for monitoring and evaluating overall CoC performance.								

	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.4.12.1	The process has been approved by the CoC primary decision-making group																
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.4.12.2	The process is described in writing.																
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	0 (0.0%)																
No	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
1.4.12.3	The process is conducted in a fair and impartial manner																
	Respondent Type: All Results: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	0 (0.0%)	5 = All of the Time	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Use Most of the Time	0 (0.0%)																
5 = All of the Time	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	

	<p>Element Comments: We need to broaden participation in the entire goal setting and performance evaluation process and expand the frequency of review and use of our data. Our previous HMIS was not capable of supporting this at a high level. We have implemented a new system and are beginning to explore how to support the needs of this process.</p>																
1.5	<p>Element: The CoC primary decision-making group uses data (PIT, HMIS, other) in a systematic manner to make informed decisions</p>																
1.5.1	<p>The CoC primary decision-making group uses performance data in the annual CoC application review process to evaluate projects and allocate resources</p>																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
1.5.2	<p>The CoC primary decision-making group uses data to inform other CoC decision-making (e.g., system design, project funding, provision of technical assistance, etc.)</p>																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)		
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																

Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None

1.5.3 The CoC primary decision-making group uses the following data sources to make decisions:

1.5.3.1 Housing Inventory Data

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Don't use at all	0 (0.0%)
2 = Use Sometimes	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Use When Relevent	1 (100.0%)
5 = Use all of the Time	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

1.5.3.2 Annual/Biennial Point In Time Data

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Don't use at all	0 (0.0%)
2 = Use Sometimes	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Use When Relevent	1 (100.0%)
5 = Use all of the Time	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

1.5.3.3 Performance data generated from HMIS

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Don't use at all	0 (0.0%)
2 = Use Sometimes	0 (0.0%)

3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Use When Relevent	1 (100.0%)
5 = Use all of the Time	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

Element Comments:
The plan was written in 2009. It needs complete review/revision to assure complete coverage of and consistency with FSP, HEARTH, ESG, etc. requirements. Old ESG was included, but was before HPRP and new legislation. All populations covered, but some are deferred based on local needs; needs to add offenders, especially sex offenders; needs review/update. Original intent was for annual review/update; lack of resources put this on hold; needs to be addressed. Plan was infomred by HMIS data, but needs to be incorporated in annual review. HOPWA is administered at state level and was not included; needs to be added. Need to improve coordination with most of the mainstream resources; it happens but needs to be more intentional. Discharge: foster care needs update and more coordination; health is excellent in some areas but need area hospital participation and adherence to own policies; mental health practice not consistent with policy and need active participation; correction - local and county are good but not sufficient resources and state not locally engaged and very inadequate. Goals are good at system level and are exceeded; needs intentional tie to program level. Plan has mentioned but deferred some populations; needs to be updated (see areas not checked); we do extensive work with veterans and other populations, but the plan did not call them out explicitly. The plan had broad CoC participation, was signed by city council and mayor, and was published to broad distribution when completed in 2009; it needs review/revision , we have a new mayor and city council, and it needs to be re-broadcast to all. Plan exists to update website to post documents published.

Domain II: CoC Plan and Planning Process	
Question No.	
2.1	Element: The CoC has a strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness in the CoC and the plan provides direction for the CoC.
2.1.1	The CoC has a written strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness.

	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Under Development	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.1.1.1	The CoC strategic plan is also the community’s “Ten Year Plan” or other community-wide plan to prevent/end homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.1.1.1.1	The CoC strategic plan and the “Ten Year Plan” or other community-wide plan to prevent/end homelessness are consistent with one another and do not have conflicting goals/objectives, etc.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 0.0 Median: 0.0 Mode:</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 0.0 Median: 0.0 Mode:	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 0.0 Median: 0.0 Mode:																	
2.1.1.2	The CoC strategic plan is consistent with CoC mission/vision																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

2.1.1.3 The plan covers all subpopulations (e.g., chronically homeless, severely mentally ill, Veterans, youth, etc.), rather than just certain subpopulations

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

2.1.1.3.1 If the plan does not cover all subpopulations, which subpopulation(s) are excluded? (check all that apply)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Chronically homeless	0 (0.0%)
Severly mentally ill	0 (0.0%)
Chronic substance abuse	0 (0.0%)
Veterans	0 (0.0%)
Persons with HIV/AIDS	0 (0.0%)
Victims of doemstic violence	0 (0.0%)

	Unaccompanied youth (under 18)	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
2.1.1.4	The plan is informed by review of local HMIS, PIT, and other data.	
	Respondent Type: All	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
2.1.1.5	The plan incorporates best practices or evidence-based practices that successfully prevent and end homelessness	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
2.1.1.6	The plan is consistent with the Federal Strategic Plan to prevent and end homelessness (“Opening Doors”)	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

2.1.1.7 The plan incorporates local ESG/HPRP resources to accomplish CoC goals/objectives

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

2.1.1.8 The plan includes leveraging/coordination with other HUD resources accounted for in local Consolidated Plan(s) (i.e., HOME, CDBG, NSP, and HOPWA) to accomplish CoC goals/objectives. (check all that are included in the plan)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
HOME	1 (100.0%)
CDBG	1 (100.0%)
HOPWA	0 (0.0%)
NSP	1 (100.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

2.1.1.9	The plan includes leveraging/coordination with other mainstream systems and resources (e.g. PHA, TANF, job training) to accomplish CoC goals/objectives. (check all that are included in the plan)																																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 302 1965 1019"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 302 1734 347">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 302 1965 347">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 347 1734 393">TANF-funded assistance</td> <td data-bbox="1734 347 1965 393">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 393 1734 438">Workforce dev/Employment</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1965 438">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 438 1734 483">Substance abuse services</td> <td data-bbox="1734 438 1965 483">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 483 1734 529">Veteran's Administration</td> <td data-bbox="1734 483 1965 529">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 529 1734 574">Social Security Administration</td> <td data-bbox="1734 529 1965 574">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 574 1734 620">Child Welfare</td> <td data-bbox="1734 574 1965 620">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 620 1734 665">Mental health services</td> <td data-bbox="1734 620 1965 665">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 665 1734 711">Local Housing Authority</td> <td data-bbox="1734 665 1965 711">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 711 1734 756">SNAP/Food Stamps</td> <td data-bbox="1734 711 1965 756">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 756 1734 802">Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)</td> <td data-bbox="1734 756 1965 802">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 802 1734 847">Medicaid/Healthcare services</td> <td data-bbox="1734 802 1965 847">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 847 1734 893">Corrections</td> <td data-bbox="1734 847 1965 893">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 893 1734 938">Other (Identify)</td> <td data-bbox="1734 893 1965 938">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 938 1734 984"></td> <td data-bbox="1734 938 1965 984"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 984 1734 1019">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 984 1965 1019">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	TANF-funded assistance	1 (100.0%)	Workforce dev/Employment	1 (100.0%)	Substance abuse services	1 (100.0%)	Veteran's Administration	1 (100.0%)	Social Security Administration	1 (100.0%)	Child Welfare	1 (100.0%)	Mental health services	1 (100.0%)	Local Housing Authority	1 (100.0%)	SNAP/Food Stamps	1 (100.0%)	Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	1 (100.0%)	Medicaid/Healthcare services	1 (100.0%)	Corrections	1 (100.0%)	Other (Identify)	0 (0.0%)			Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total																																
TANF-funded assistance	1 (100.0%)																																
Workforce dev/Employment	1 (100.0%)																																
Substance abuse services	1 (100.0%)																																
Veteran's Administration	1 (100.0%)																																
Social Security Administration	1 (100.0%)																																
Child Welfare	1 (100.0%)																																
Mental health services	1 (100.0%)																																
Local Housing Authority	1 (100.0%)																																
SNAP/Food Stamps	1 (100.0%)																																
Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	1 (100.0%)																																
Medicaid/Healthcare services	1 (100.0%)																																
Corrections	1 (100.0%)																																
Other (Identify)	0 (0.0%)																																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																																
2.1.1.10	Local discharge plans/policies established by foster care system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.																																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1193 1965 1502"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1193 1734 1239">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1193 1965 1239">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1239 1734 1284">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1239 1965 1284">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1284 1734 1330">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1284 1965 1330">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1330 1734 1375">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1330 1965 1375">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1375 1734 1421">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1375 1965 1421">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1421 1734 1466">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1421 1965 1466">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1466 1734 1502">System does not have discharge plane</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1466 1965 1502">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)																		
Rating Scale	Total																																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																																
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)																																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None															
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																			
2.1.1.11	Local discharge plans/policies established by healthcare system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>System does not have discharge plane</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)																		
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																			
2.1.1.12	Local discharge plans/policies established by mental health system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>System does not have discharge plane</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																			

2.1.1.13	Local discharge plans/policies established by corrections system are consistent with CoC strategic plan.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 261 1965 711"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1965 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 305 1734 349">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1965 349">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 349 1734 393">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1965 393">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 393 1734 436">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1965 436">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 436 1734 480">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 436 1965 480">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 480 1734 524">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 480 1965 524">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 524 1734 568">System does not have discharge plane</td> <td data-bbox="1734 524 1965 568">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 568 1734 612">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 568 1965 612">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="331 612 1965 711" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)																		
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
System does not have discharge plane	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																			
2.1.1.14	The plan includes measurable goals, performance indicators and targets toward achieving identified goals.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 881 1965 1062"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 881 1734 925">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 881 1965 925">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 925 1734 969">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 925 1965 969">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 969 1734 1013">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 969 1965 1013">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1013 1734 1062">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1013 1965 1062">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	1 (100.0%)																		
No	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
2.1.1.14.1	Performance targets meet or exceed HUD’s national goals/objectives for HUD funded CoC projects																		
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1229 1965 1498"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="331 1229 1734 1273">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1229 1965 1273">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1273 1734 1317">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1273 1965 1317">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1317 1734 1360">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1317 1965 1360">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1360 1734 1404">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1360 1965 1404">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1404 1734 1448">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1404 1965 1448">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="331 1448 1734 1498">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1448 1965 1498">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																		

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
2.1.1.14.2	Performance indicators/targets include outcome-based targets reflecting client, project, and system change vs. activities/outputs																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
2.1.1.14.3	Performance targets are measurable																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
2.1.1.14.4	Goals and performance indicators/targets are set for the following: (check all that apply)																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

Reducing/ending chronic homelessness	1 (100.0%)
Preventing/ending homelessness for Veterans	0 (0.0%)
Preventing/ending homelessness for families, children and youth	1 (100.0%)
Preventing/ending homelessness for single adults	0 (0.0%)
Reducing length of time persons remain homeless	0 (0.0%)
Reducing returns homelessness	0 (0.0%)
Overall reduction in number of persons who experience homelessness	1 (100.0%)
Overall reduction in number of persons are homeless for first time	0 (0.0%)
Increasing employment for persons who experience homelessness	1 (100.0%)
Increasing income of persons who experience homelessness	1 (100.0%)
Increasing utilization and/or receipt of mainstream benefits	1 (100.0%)
Other (Identify)	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

2.1.1.15 The plan is recognized and supported by CoC stakeholders.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

2.1.1.16 The plan is recognized and supported by local government and political leadership in the CoC.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None							
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
2.1.1.17	The plan includes public relations and communications strategy (i.e., strategy to produce and disseminate annual report or other public information on plan progress for education and advocacy purposes).																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
	<p>Element Comments: We have a process but lack of resources put it on back burner.</p>																
2.2	Element: The CoC has an inclusive and transparent process for development of and/or periodic updating of the CoC strategic plan																
2.2.1	The CoC primary decision-making group has a process for developing and/or reviewing and updating the strategic plan																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																

2.2.1.1	The planning process is inclusive and involves key CoC and community stakeholders, including government representatives, business leaders, the philanthropic community, etc.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 263 1969 662"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>We review our goals and performance as part of the application process every year (date listed is approximate because it's actually over a couple week time period); however, the plan has not been updated as intended.</p>																
2.3	Element: The CoC has a formal process in place to support implementation of the strategic plan.																
2.3.1	The CoC primary decision-making group has tasked a specific committee or other body with overseeing implementation of the plan.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1081 1969 1305"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	N/A	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Under Development	0 (0.0%)																
N/A	0 (0.0%)																
2.3.2	There is a written action plan to support implementation of the strategic plan.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1442 1969 1481"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> </table>	Rating Scale	Total														
Rating Scale	Total																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N/A</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	N/A	0 (0.0%)								
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Under Development	0 (0.0%)																
N/A	0 (0.0%)																
2.3.2.1	The action plan includes specific steps and timelines.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.3.2.2	The action plan identifies responsible entities.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
2.3.2.3	Actions taken to date are having a positive impact on achievement of CoC goals identified in the CoC strategic plan.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
2.3.2.4	The action plan is reviewed and updated at least annually.																

	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	0 (0.0%)								
No	1 (100.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
2.3.2.5	On what date was the action plan last reviewed and updated?								
	Respondent Type: 1 Results: 09/30/2011								
	Element Comments: Staff is really 1.5 but not allowed to put that; 1 FTE and parts of two other people. We know about TA but haven't yet applied; plan to do so. We have unwritten procedures; need documented policies and procedures; lack adequate capacity to monitor project at this time. Many/most agencies do involve clients but we don't have a specific policy and don't monitor/measure . DV: very limited resources but do a good job; need specific coverage in the plan. Education: excellent coordination and follow-through; need specific coverage in the plan. Fair housing: individual providers do a good job and have had training in the past; time to train again and need specific coverage in the plan.								

Domain III: CoC Infrastructure and Administrative Capacity	
Question No.	
3.1	Element: Administrative Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the administrative responsibilities of the CoC.
3.1.1	Approximate number of CoC staff (paid or volunteer) responsible for regular administrative tasks of the CoC (estimated full-time equivalent (FTE), excluding FTE dedicated to HMIS functions).
	Respondent Type: 1 Results: 1
3.1.2	The CoC has sufficient staffing (paid or volunteer) to manage the regular administrative tasks of the CoC, relative to the scope and scale of CoC funding and commitments.

	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
3.1.3	CoC staff is knowledgeable of technical assistance resources and opportunities.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
3.1.4	The CoC has capacity, policies, and procedures in place to monitor and ensure that HUD-funded projects are operating in accordance with HUD provisions and the project design set forth in the application.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																

4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

3.1.5

The CoC seeks to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, HUD-funded project sponsors involve individuals and families experiencing homelessness through employment, volunteer services, or otherwise, in constructing, rehabilitating, maintaining, and

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

3.1.6

The CoC seeks to ensure that CoC projects are protecting individuals and families fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence by maintaining client record confidentiality and ensuring the secrecy of domestic violence service delivery locations.

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None														
3.1.7	The CoC seeks to ensure that CoC projects serving families with children and unaccompanied youth experiencing homelessness designate a staff person to ensure children are enrolled in school, connected to appropriate services, and placed near their school														
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None														
3.1.8	The CoC primary decision-making group is aware of and understands fair housing laws.														
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None														
3.1.9	The CoC seeks to assure that HUD-funded project sponsors affirmatively further fair housing by periodically reviewing project policies and protocols implemented by CoC projects.														
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total												
Rating Scale	Total														

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Yes	1 (100.0%)												
No	0 (0.0%)												
Unknown	0 (0.0%)												
3.1.10	CoC projects seek to affirmatively further fair housing through dissemination of fair housing information to CoC projects and project participants.												
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total												
Yes	1 (100.0%)												
No	0 (0.0%)												
Unknown	0 (0.0%)												
	<p>Element Comments:</p> <p>We rely heavily on regional HUD for monitoring but work very well with them to raise issues we find and address anything they find. We support/encourage match opportunities but it's currently up to the programs to do the work; need to be more proactive. Review of match is adequate on new projects; rely on audits/monitoring for renewal projects; need to improve this process. We do a good job of managing the funds awarded. We do intend to pursue the UFA concept but are in the early stages; we need to fully understand the requirements, assess what is available in the community, and determine a funding strategy to build sufficient capacity to implement and execute well.</p>												
3.2	Element: Fiscal Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the fiscal responsibilities of the CoC.												
3.2.1	The CoC has a method for ensuring HUD-funded project sponsors have developed, implemented, and are adhering to appropriate internal fiscal control and fund accounting procedures (e.g., requests audit reports with project applications, self-certification o												
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total												
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)												
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)												
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)												
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)												
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)												

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
3.2.2	The CoC helps support a diverse range of funding and cash/in-kind match opportunities for HUD-funded project sponsors.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
3.2.3	The CoC has a procedure in place to review and verify cash/in-kind match for HUD-funded projects.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
3.2.4	In the last three years HUD-funded project sponsors have been able to obligate/expend funds and complete projects within specified timelines (i.e., no funds have been recaptured).																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
3.2.5	Based on your current level of understanding, is your CoC considering asking HUD to become a Unified Funding Agency (UFA)?																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
3.2.5.1	The CoC has or is working to identify a capable agent, consensually-agreeable to key community partners, to serve as CoC fiduciary for all projects.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	0 (0.0%)																
No	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
3.2.5.2	The CoC has adequate capacity (staffing and other resources) to monitor HUD-funded project sponsor compliance with HUD fiscal regulations, including auditing of financial management and fund accounting procedures.																

Respondent Type: All

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	1 (100.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

Element Comments:

Our policies and procedure are mostly adequate but need full review and update. We have just implemented a new system and developed the data quality reports needed; we are running them weekly now but intend to go to monthly when the process is stable; we now need to document specific policies and practices expected data quality standards. Our HMIS Advisory Committee meets twice-monthly and is a forum for information sharing, priority-setting, and decision-making. We got extra funding/staffing through HPRP to assist with the system conversion; this ends in June and will leave us with insufficient resources to meet the expanding requirements; we are looking at strategies to cover this, including charging user/participation fees as well as volunteer/inter n opportunities. We need to do a review/refresher data and security standards course for users; this will be addressed when the new system is fully implemented and stable. We understand the Pulse report but haven't done it yet because we couldn't on our old system. Most of our agencies understand their APR's but we have a couple of new providers who need more assistance. Overall, the reporting still needs work: the data migrated from the old system causes problems because the two systems were structured so differently; new data works fine, so in some cases, we will just have to work with the passage of time and change in balance between old data and new data. We are just exploring the capabilities of outcomes/perfor mance measurement and development of meaningful reports for planning. TH-IND was below 75% bed coverage for the last AHAR because we have more non-HUD funded projects in this category and their data was incomplete; some of them are coming on board with the new system and data quality is improving; this category was still usable this year and should be better next year. We certainly do NOT plan to change software again anytime soon!

3.3	Element: Information Management Capacity: The CoC has adequate capacity to manage the HMIS responsibilities of the CoC.														
3.3.1	The CoC has policies and procedures in place to ensure the HMIS adheres to the HUD 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.														
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 347 1965 662"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 347 1734 391">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 347 1965 391">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 391 1734 435">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 391 1965 435">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 435 1734 479">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 435 1965 479">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 479 1734 522">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 479 1965 522">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 522 1734 566">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 522 1965 566">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 566 1734 610">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 566 1965 610">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 610 1734 654">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 610 1965 654">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="327 662 1965 706" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
3.3.2	The CoC has policies and procedures in place to ensure the HMIS is in compliance with the 2010 HMIS Data Standards.														
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 925 1965 1240"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 925 1734 969">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 925 1965 969">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 969 1734 1013">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 969 1965 1013">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1013 1734 1057">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1013 1965 1057">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1057 1734 1101">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1057 1965 1101">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1101 1734 1144">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1101 1965 1144">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1144 1734 1188">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1144 1965 1188">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1188 1734 1232">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1188 1965 1232">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p data-bbox="327 1240 1965 1284" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
3.3.3	The CoC has written HMIS participation agreements with organizations that enter client-level data into HMIS.														

	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
3.3.4	The CoC has policies and procedures in place to monitor and assure data quality and compliance with data standards.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.5	The HMIS lead agency generates regular data quality reports.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
Yes	1 (100.0%)																
No	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
3.3.5.1	Which types of data quality checks are performed? (check all that apply)																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Missing data/null value rate</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Consistency with project target population</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Missing data/null value rate	1 (100.0%)	Consistency with project target population	0 (0.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																
Missing data/null value rate	1 (100.0%)																
Consistency with project target population	0 (0.0%)																

Consistency with project capacity	1 (100.0%)
Consistency with case file records	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

3.3.5.2 Data quality reports are generated...

Respondent Type: 1,2
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Monthly	0 (0.0%)
Quarterly	0 (0.0%)
Semi-Annually	0 (0.0%)
Annually	0 (0.0%)
Other (Identify)	1 (100.0%)
	weekly now, but plan monthly
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

3.3.5.3 The HMIS lead agency reports or otherwise informs the CoC lead decision-making group of data quality concerns.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None

3.3.6 The CoC primary decision-making group provides ongoing management of and guidance to the HMIS lead agency through a data subcommittee or other formal mechanism.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
---------------------	--------------

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

3.3.7 The HMIS lead agency has adequate funding and resources (excluding staffing) to fulfill its responsibilities related to HMIS software vendor management, end user training and technical assistance, data quality, report generation, and other responsibilities

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

3.3.8 The HMIS lead agency has adequate staffing to fulfill its responsibilities.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
---	--

3.3.8.1	In which area(s) does the HMIS lack adequate capacity (funding and/or staffing)? (check all that apply)														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HMIS software vendor management</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>End user training & technical assistance</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Data quality control</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Report generation</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Other responsibilities</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	HMIS software vendor management	0 (0.0%)	End user training & technical assistance	0 (0.0%)	Data quality control	0 (0.0%)	Report generation	1 (100.0%)	Other responsibilities	1 (100.0%)		
Rating Scale	Total														
HMIS software vendor management	0 (0.0%)														
End user training & technical assistance	0 (0.0%)														
Data quality control	0 (0.0%)														
Report generation	1 (100.0%)														
Other responsibilities	1 (100.0%)														
3.3.9	CoC participating projects understand the data collection requirements of the 2010 HMIS Data Standards.														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Use Most of the Time</td> <td style="text-align: right;">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = All of the Time</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Use Most of the Time	1 (100.0%)	5 = All of the Time	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Use Most of the Time	1 (100.0%)														
5 = All of the Time	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
3.3.10	CoC participating projects are compliant with the data collection requirements of the 2010 HMIS Data Standards.														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Rating Scale</th> <th style="text-align: right;">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = None of the Time</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Sometimes</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)	2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)														

3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Use Most of the Time	1 (100.0%)
5 = All of the Time	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

3.3.11 CoC participating projects understand the Privacy and Security standards in the 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Use Most of the Time	0 (0.0%)
5 = All of the Time	1 (100.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	

3.3.12 CoC participating projects adhere to the Privacy and Security standards of the 2004 HMIS Technical Standards.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = None of the Time	0 (0.0%)
2 = Sometimes	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Use Most of the Time	1 (100.0%)
5 = All of the Time	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

3.3.13	The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to APR's.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 224 1969 630"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 224 1734 269">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 224 1969 269">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 269 1734 315">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 269 1969 315">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 315 1734 360">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 315 1969 360">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 360 1734 406">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 360 1969 406">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 406 1734 451">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 406 1969 451">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 451 1734 496">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 451 1969 496">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 496 1734 542">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 496 1969 542">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 542 1969 630" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.14	The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to the AHAR.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 756 1969 1162"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 756 1734 802">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 756 1969 802">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 802 1734 847">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 802 1969 847">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 847 1734 893">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 847 1969 893">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 893 1734 938">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 893 1969 938">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 938 1734 984">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 938 1969 984">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 984 1734 1029">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 984 1969 1029">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1029 1734 1075">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1029 1969 1075">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="327 1075 1969 1162" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.15	The CoC lead understands HUD reporting requirements related to the Homeless Pulse Report.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 1289 1969 1515"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 1289 1734 1334">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1289 1969 1334">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1334 1734 1380">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1334 1969 1380">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1380 1734 1425">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1380 1969 1425">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1425 1734 1471">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1425 1969 1471">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1471 1734 1515">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1471 1969 1515">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																

5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

3.3.16 HUD-funded CoC projects understand HUD reporting requirements related to APRs.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

3.3.17 Data from the HMIS is generally useful and reliable for the CoC and participating agencies.

Respondent Type: All
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

3.3.18 The HMIS can generate reports for use in monitoring and evaluating system and project performance.

Respondent Type: All	
Results:	
Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

3.3.19 The HMIS can generate project-level HUD APRs, per HUD reporting requirements and specifications.

Respondent Type: 1,2,3,4	
Results:	
Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

3.3.20 The HMIS can generate system level data for the AHAR, per HUD reporting specifications.

Respondent Type: 1,2	
Results:	
Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None											
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.21	The HMIS can generate system level data for the Homeless Pulse Report, per HUD reporting specifications.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.22	The HMIS can generate reports that meaningfully support CoC planning and project development.																
	<p>Respondent Type: All Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
3.3.23	The CoC has 75% or more bed coverage in the HMIS for each established AHAR category (i.e., emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent supportive housing).																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Yes</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																		
Yes	0 (0.0%)																		
No	1 (100.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
3.3.23.1	Which categories have less than 75% bed coverage in HMIS (presently or as of last bed coverage analysis)? (check all that apply)																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-Individuals</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-families</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-individuals</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-families</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-individuals</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-families</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Emergency shelter-Individuals	0 (0.0%)	Emergency shelter-families	0 (0.0%)	Transitional housing-individuals	1 (100.0%)	Transitional housing-families	0 (0.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-individuals	0 (0.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-families	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)		
Rating Scale	Total																		
Emergency shelter-Individuals	0 (0.0%)																		
Emergency shelter-families	0 (0.0%)																		
Transitional housing-individuals	1 (100.0%)																		
Transitional housing-families	0 (0.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-individuals	0 (0.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-families	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
3.3.24	Which data were accepted for inclusion in the AHAR in the most recent AHAR data collection process?																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-Individuals</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Emergency shelter-families</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-individuals</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Transitional housing-families</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-individuals</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permanent supportive housing-families</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No data submitted</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Emergency shelter-Individuals	1 (100.0%)	Emergency shelter-families	1 (100.0%)	Transitional housing-individuals	1 (100.0%)	Transitional housing-families	1 (100.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-individuals	1 (100.0%)	Permanent supportive housing-families	1 (100.0%)	No data submitted	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total																		
Emergency shelter-Individuals	1 (100.0%)																		
Emergency shelter-families	1 (100.0%)																		
Transitional housing-individuals	1 (100.0%)																		
Transitional housing-families	1 (100.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-individuals	1 (100.0%)																		
Permanent supportive housing-families	1 (100.0%)																		
No data submitted	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		

3.3.25	The CoC has maintained the same HMIS software since initial HMIS implementation or two years (which ever is longer).								
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 259 1969 438"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 259 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 259 1969 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 305 1734 350">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1969 350">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 350 1734 396">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 350 1969 396">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 396 1734 441">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 396 1969 441">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	0 (0.0%)	No	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	0 (0.0%)								
No	1 (100.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
3.3.26	The CoC currently has no plans to change HMIS software.								
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 571 1969 747"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 571 1734 617">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 571 1969 617">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 617 1734 662">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 617 1969 662">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 662 1734 708">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 662 1969 708">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 708 1734 753">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 708 1969 753">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	1 (100.0%)								
No	0 (0.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
3.3.27	The CoC has utilized the same HMIS lead agency since initial HMIS implementation or two years (which ever is longer).								
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,2,4</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 922 1969 1097"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 922 1734 967">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 922 1969 967">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 967 1734 1013">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 967 1969 1013">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1013 1734 1058">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1013 1969 1058">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1058 1734 1101">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1058 1969 1101">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	1 (100.0%)								
No	0 (0.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
3.3.28	The CoC currently has no plans to change the HMIS lead agency.								
	<p>Respondent Type: All</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="327 1234 1969 1409"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="327 1234 1734 1279">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1234 1969 1279">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1279 1734 1325">Yes</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1279 1969 1325">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1325 1734 1370">No</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1325 1969 1370">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="327 1370 1734 1409">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1370 1969 1409">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Yes	1 (100.0%)	No	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total								
Yes	1 (100.0%)								
No	0 (0.0%)								
Unknown	0 (0.0%)								

Element Comments:

HPRP funds are nearly gone; ESG/CDBG, city/county, and foundation funds are inadequate; this will be an area of focus in our strategic planning. We have recognition of the need for better coordination/co nsistency in assessment but need to develop formal process. We have a good 211 system, but the community and CoC system are difficult to access and navigate without case management-type assistance which is inadequate due to funding/ resource constraints. We refer people well, but can't offer much other support on a broad enough level. We hook people up with mainstream resources but not to the degree we would like due to inadequate resources locally. We can prevent homelessness in the short-term but cannot always meet the full need. We help as many as possible find housing but have inadequate affordable housing. We aren't sure about the foster care discharge and need input; health care and mental health have inadequate resources and do discharge directly to homelessness; county corrections tries to work discharge planning but has inadequate resources to cover the population and the state corrections situation seems worse. We have enough temporary shelter for some populations but not offenders or difficult populations or those not ready to work case and housing plans; we currently lack resources to develop additional capacity. Transportation and location are barriers to access and easy navigation in our community. Where we are less than really good it is generally due to not enough resources and/or inventory of housing. Same comments apply for rapid rehousing; some clients did well but we have insufficient jobs to assure long-term stability; we've seen some people return to homelessness. We are building PSH inventory but do not yet have enough; coordination with PHA is in infancy stage; we are good at moving clients to more independent housing but there is not enough stock. We have worked hard to develop outreach capacity but have severe resource constraints; when available, it works well at linking people to providers who can take the next steps; we have inadequate outreach resources for them to do the linking to mainstream resources or develop housing plans; those they can serve and who are ready to exit homelessness can be served by other providers.

Domain IV: CoC Housing and Services

Question No.	
4.1	Element: The housing and services available in the community(ies) served by the CoC are accessible by persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness and are sufficient and effective at preventing and ending homelessness.

4.1.1	Targeted homelessness prevention assistance is available across the CoC for persons at-risk of literal homelessness.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 261 1965 662"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="342 269 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1745 269 1955 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 313 1734 349">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1745 313 1955 349">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 357 1734 393">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1745 357 1955 393">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 401 1734 436">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1745 401 1955 436">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 444 1734 480">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1745 444 1955 480">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 488 1734 524">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1745 488 1955 524">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 532 1734 568">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1745 532 1955 568">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="342 576 1955 612"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="342 620 1955 656" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)			Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)																		
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																		
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																			
4.1.1.1	Additional targeted homelessness prevention resources are:																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 797 1965 1060"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="342 805 1734 841">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1745 805 1955 841">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 849 1734 885">Under Development</td> <td data-bbox="1745 849 1955 885">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 893 1734 928">Planned</td> <td data-bbox="1745 893 1955 928">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 937 1734 972">Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td data-bbox="1745 937 1955 972">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 980 1734 1016">Not Planned</td> <td data-bbox="1745 980 1955 1016">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1024 1734 1060">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1024 1955 1060">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	1 (100.0%)	Planned	0 (0.0%)	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	0 (0.0%)	Not Planned	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																		
Under Development	1 (100.0%)																		
Planned	0 (0.0%)																		
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	0 (0.0%)																		
Not Planned	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		
4.1.1.2	Homelessness prevention resources are targeted to persons most at-risk of literal homelessness.																		
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1198 1965 1503"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="342 1206 1734 1242">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1745 1206 1955 1242">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1250 1734 1286">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1250 1955 1286">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1294 1734 1330">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1294 1955 1330">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1338 1734 1373">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1338 1955 1373">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1382 1734 1417">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1382 1955 1417">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1425 1734 1461">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1425 1955 1461">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="342 1469 1734 1505">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1745 1469 1955 1505">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total																		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																		
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																		
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																		
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																		

Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
---	--

4.1.1.3 Persons most at-risk of literal homelessness can easily navigate and access homelessness prevention assistance across the CoC.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None

4.1.1.4 Homelessness prevention assistance providers are effective at linking persons to community-based and mainstream resources.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None

4.1.1.5 Homelessness prevention assistance providers are effective at helping persons to maintain or obtain permanent housing.

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.1.6	Homelessness prevention assistance providers are effective at preventing literal homelessness for persons served.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.2	Local discharge practices of the foster care system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)								
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 0.0 Median: 0.0 Mode:</td> </tr> </table>	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	1 (100.0%)	Mean: 0.0 Median: 0.0 Mode:									
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	1 (100.0%)																
Mean: 0.0 Median: 0.0 Mode:																	
4.1.3	Local discharge practices of the healthcare system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.4	Local discharge practices of the mental health system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	

4.1.5	Local discharge practices of the corrections system do not result in direct discharge to homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 261 1965 662"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6	Temporary shelter (i.e., emergency shelter and facility-based transitional housing) is available within the CoC for persons with no other appropriate temporary or permanent housing options.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 837 1965 1239"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6.1	Additional temporary shelter is:																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="331 1375 1965 1500"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	Planned	0 (0.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																
Under Development	0 (0.0%)																
Planned	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	1 (100.0%)	Not Planned	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)										
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	1 (100.0%)																
Not Planned	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
4.1.6.2	Persons experiencing homelessness can easily navigate and access temporary shelter across the CoC.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6.3	Temporary shelter providers assess persons requesting shelter to first determine whether other appropriate temporary or permanent housing options are available.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6.4	Temporary shelter providers divert persons with other appropriate temporary/permanent housing options to homelessness prevention and/or other community resources.																

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

4.1.6.5

Temporary shelter is decent, safe and sanitary.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	

4.1.6.6

Temporary shelter providers ensure all persons assisted have a housing goal plan as soon as possible after admission.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None											
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6.7	Temporary shelter providers seek to move assisted persons to permanent housing as quickly as possible.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6.8	Temporary shelter providers are effective at linking persons who are homeless to permanent housing options and resources (either directly or via a housing search/placement provider).																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.6.9	Transitional housing (facility-based) providers only serve persons who cannot otherwise be more appropriately assisted with transitional services in permanent housing.																

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.7	Re-housing and housing stabilization assistance is available within the CoC for persons experiencing homelessness.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.7.1	Additional re-housing and stabilization resources are:																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Under Development</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	1 (100.0%)	Planned	0 (0.0%)	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	0 (0.0%)	Not Planned	0 (0.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
Under Development	1 (100.0%)																
Planned	0 (0.0%)																
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	0 (0.0%)																
Not Planned	0 (0.0%)																

	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
4.1.7.2	Persons experiencing homelessness can easily navigate and access a range of re-housing and housing stabilization assistance across the CoC.	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
4.1.7.3	Re-housing and housing stabilization assistance providers are effective at linking persons to community-based services and mainstream resources.	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total
	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
4.1.7.4	Re-housing and stabilization providers are effective at linking persons to permanent housing options.	
	Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6	
	Results:	
	Rating Scale	Total

1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

4.1.7.5 Re-housing and stabilization providers are effective at ending homelessness for persons served.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	

4.1.8 Permanent supportive housing (PSH) is available within the CoC for persons who are homeless, disabled and for whom PSH is the most appropriate housing option.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None

4.1.8.1 Additional permanent supportive housing for persons who are homeless and disabled is:

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
Under Development	1 (100.0%)
Planned	0 (0.0%)
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	0 (0.0%)
Not Planned	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

4.1.8.2 Persons who are homeless and disabled can easily navigate and access permanent supportive housing across the CoC.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None

4.1.8.3 PSH providers are effective at linking persons to community-based services and mainstream resources.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None											
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.8.4	PSH providers utilize Medicaid to pay for Medicaid eligible services for residents receiving Medicaid benefits.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.8.5	PSH providers partner with local PHA(s) around specific PSH projects (e.g., providing preferences and/or subsidy set-asides for homeless persons, allocating public housing units for PSH use, etc.).																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	

4.1.8.6	PSH providers are effective at assisting PSH residents to move to more independent housing, when desired and appropriate.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="338 261 1967 662"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="338 261 1734 305">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 261 1967 305">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 305 1734 349">1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 305 1967 349">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 349 1734 393">2 = Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 349 1967 393">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 393 1734 436">3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 393 1967 436">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 436 1734 480">4 = Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 436 1967 480">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 480 1734 524">5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td data-bbox="1734 480 1967 524">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 524 1734 568">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 524 1967 568">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="338 568 1967 612"> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	<p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</p>	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
<p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</p>																	
4.1.9	Street outreach is available within the CoC for persons who are homeless and have difficulty accessing services and housing.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="338 837 1967 1239"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="338 837 1734 881">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 837 1967 881">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 881 1734 925">1 = Insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 881 1967 925">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 925 1734 969">2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 925 1967 969">1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 969 1734 1013">3 = Neutral</td> <td data-bbox="1734 969 1967 1013">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 1013 1734 1057">4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1013 1967 1057">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 1057 1734 1101">5 = Sufficient</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1057 1967 1101">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 1101 1734 1144">Unknown</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1101 1967 1144">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="338 1144 1967 1188"> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	<p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</p>	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
<p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</p>																	
4.1.9.1	Additional or improved street outreach services are:																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="338 1373 1967 1503"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="338 1373 1734 1417">Rating Scale</th> <th data-bbox="1734 1373 1967 1417">Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 1417 1734 1461">Under Development</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1417 1967 1461">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="338 1461 1734 1503">Planned</td> <td data-bbox="1734 1461 1967 1503">0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	Under Development	0 (0.0%)	Planned	0 (0.0%)										
Rating Scale	Total																
Under Development	0 (0.0%)																
Planned	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Not Planned</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </table>	Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	1 (100.0%)	Not Planned	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)								
Not Planned Due to Resource Constraints	1 (100.0%)														
Not Planned	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
4.1.9.2	Street outreach providers are effective at finding and engaging persons who are literally homeless.														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)														
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
4.1.9.3	Street outreach providers ensure all persons assisted have a housing goal plan as soon as possible after contact and engagement.														
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</p>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Rating Scale	Total														
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)														
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)														
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)														
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)														
Unknown	0 (0.0%)														
4.1.9.4	Street outreach providers are effective at linking persons to community-based services and mainstream resources.														

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.9.5	Street outreach providers are effective at linking persons to temporary and permanent housing options in the community.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.1.9.6	Street outreach providers are effective at ending homelessness for persons served.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																

5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

Element Comments:
 We have procedures but they are not documented, and referrals are inconsistently documented. Inadequate coordination: workforce - works mostly with businesses, not with homeless providers; child welfare - good for some providers but not consistently engaged; mental health - not enough resources; PHA - new to the table; SNAP - too many people needing it, not enough county resources, wait periods too long; correction - as noted elsewhere, county is good though not enough, state is not engaged; dental - very inadequate resources. Our 211 is effective at getting people to the right provider. Providers to assess needs but there is no coordinated/consistent tool used. We have a good handle on the inventory of housing but there isn't enough.

4.2 **Element: The CoC functions as an integrated system of housing and services.**

4.2.1 CoC projects have written interagency procedures for making streamlined, effective referrals and documenting the referrals in case files.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

4.2.2 CoC projects have written procedures for sharing client-level information and coordinating case management and/or client-level services across projects, services, and funding streams.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)

2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

4.2.3 CoC projects effectively coordinate with mainstream systems and resources (e.g., TANF agency, school system, etc.) to identify and link persons/families experiencing a housing crisis to emergency housing assistance (i.e., homelessness prevention assistance)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

4.2.3.1 Which mainstream systems and resources are the CoC not coordinating with effectively to identify and link persons/families experiencing a housing crisis to emergency housing assistance (i.e., homelessness prevention assistance or temporary shelter, as app

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
TANF-funded assistance	0 (0.0%)
Workforce dev/Employment	1 (100.0%)
Substance abuse services	0 (0.0%)
Veteran's Administration	0 (0.0%)
Social Security Administration	0 (0.0%)

Child Welfare	1 (100.0%)
Mental health services	1 (100.0%)
Local Housing Authority	1 (100.0%)
Schools/Local Education Agencies (LEAs)	0 (0.0%)
Healthcare services	0 (0.0%)
SNAP/Food Stamps	1 (100.0%)
Corrections	1 (100.0%)
Other (Identify)	1 (100.0%)
	Dental
Unknown	0 (0.0%)

4.2.3.2 The CoC has a central point of contact/triage for persons experiencing a housing crisis.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

4.2.3.3 The CoC uses a vulnerability assessment or similar assessment tool/process to identify and prioritize persons who are homeless and disabled for permanent supportive housing and/or other appropriate assistance.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	1 (100.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None											
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 3.0 Median: 3.0 Mode: None																	
4.2.4	The CoC has an affordable housing database and/or housing locator staff to assist persons across CoC projects with housing search and placement, landlord/tenant mediation, etc.,																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Somewhat insufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neutral</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Mostly Sufficient</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Sufficient</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)	2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)	4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)	5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Somewhat insufficient	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neutral	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Mostly Sufficient	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Sufficient	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
	<p>Element Comments: The key word is "easily:" transportation, case management/assistance, and mainstream provider resources are inadequate to handle the demand in our community. We help as many as we can, but that's not enough.</p>																
4.3	Element: People who are homeless or at risk of homelessness in the community have access to relevant community-based services and mainstream resources in the community.																
4.3.1	CoC projects systematically assess persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness for potential referral to community-based services and mainstream resources.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6 Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)						
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None											
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2	Persons who are homeless or at-risk of homelessness can easily navigate and access (as needed and appropriate):																
4.3.2.1	Employment training/work supports																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.2	TANF assistance																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.3	Food Stamps/Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP)																

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

4.3.2.4

Medicaid

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

4.3.2.5

Social Security Administration assistance (SSI, SSDI, etc.)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.6	<p>Veterans Affairs (VA) general assistance (Medical Benefits, Cash Assistance)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.7	<p>VA targeted assistance for the homeless (VASH, VA Per Diem)</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.8	<p>Other state/locally funded services for Veterans</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																

2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

4.3.2.9 Local Housing Authority(ies) rental assistance (Housing Choice Vouchers, Public Housing, etc.)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

4.3.2.10 Other permanent affordable housing (subsidized or unsubsidized)

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6

Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None	

4.3.2.11 Healthcare for the homeless services

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.12	Other healthcare services																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.13	Mental health services																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)				
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	1 (100.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </table>	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None													
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 1.0 Median: 1.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.14	<p>Substance abuse treatment services</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.15	<p>Youth services</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None																	
4.3.2.16	<p>Domestic violence services</p> <p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)												
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																

2 = Disagree	1 (100.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 2.0 Median: 2.0 Mode: None	

Element Comments:
 We work very hard and do a lot with very limited resources. Colorado Springs/El Paso County is very fiscally conservative, has few corporate headquarters other than faith-based (who fund heavily out of the area), and limited local foundations. Therefore, the burden falls to non-profits and under-funded/un der-staffed mainstream programs to carry the burden. What we do, we do well. We want to do more.

4.4 **Element: The CoC as a whole has sufficient knowledge and capacity to provide housing and services.**

4.4.1 Agencies in the community have sufficient knowledge and capacity to develop and operate services and housing for homeless persons.

Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6
Results:

Rating Scale	Total
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)
4 = Agree	1 (100.0%)
5 = Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
Unknown	0 (0.0%)
Mean: 4.0 Median: 4.0 Mode: None	

4.4.2 CoC projects use nationally recognized best practice models and evidence-based practices to provide effective services and housing for homeless persons or persons at-risk of homelessness.

	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	
4.4.3	CoC projects typically meet or exceed HUD's national performance goals/objectives and local goals/objectives.																
	<p>Respondent Type: 1,3,4,5,6</p> <p>Results:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating Scale</th> <th>Total</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 = Strongly Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 = Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 = Neither Agree or Disagree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 = Agree</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 = Strongly Agree</td> <td>1 (100.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Unknown</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Rating Scale	Total	1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)	3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)	4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)	5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)	Unknown	0 (0.0%)	Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None	
Rating Scale	Total																
1 = Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
2 = Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
3 = Neither Agree or Disagree	0 (0.0%)																
4 = Agree	0 (0.0%)																
5 = Strongly Agree	1 (100.0%)																
Unknown	0 (0.0%)																
Mean: 5.0 Median: 5.0 Mode: None																	